Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/578,106	EISENHUTH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas M. Lithgow	1776
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Thomas M. Lithgow</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Mancini</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 January 2011	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 8-9		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Clarified that claims 1-7 are to be allowed with claims 8-11 canceled. see ex's amendment		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Thomas M. Lithgow/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1776 (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)